The struggle against right-wing populist/radical and extreme right-wing movements by the Labour Research Department

Throughout the C20th the trade union movement has monitored the activities of far-right groups, trying to understand their aims and the threat that they presented. This work has continued into the C21st.

This presentation is based on my own search through the collections in the TUC Library, and largely on the work and the archive of the Labour Research Department.

The Labour Research Department collected information on groups including the British Empire Union, the British Union of Fascists, the League of Empire Loyalists, and the National Front.

Their has largely been an information war, as they gathered information on these groups’ finances, leadership, membership, methods and their language and message.
On the evidence of what I found it appears that sometimes this work was done through subterfuge, as it appears that (very much like Christian described yesterday) the Labour Research Department was certainly on the mailing list of many of the groups, if not actually becoming a member of some in order to get classified information. In the archive there are thank you letters for their “support” and appeals for “contributions”.

The results of this research would then go into reports to the affiliated unions and organisations and publications for wider circulation.

But first a little bit of history about the Labour Research Department

History of LRD

The Labour Research Department was set up in 1912 as the Fabian Research Department, producing research reports and organising lectures and conferences. Many famous authors and activists have been involved including George Bernard Shaw, Sidney and Beatrice Webb, Ellen Wilkinson and G.D.H. Cole.

In 1918, the name changed to the Labour Research Department and it prioritised supplying unions and other groups with information to use in negotiations, political debates and public meetings.

To make things easier I’m going to refer to the Labour Research Department as the LRD from now on.

The LRD has been involved with political developments and has responded to changes in the law, government policies, and general political attitudes. Its history is also a history of the workers’ movement of the C20th.
National and local trade unions, co-operative and socialist bodies could affiliate. In return for an annual fee affiliates received a monthly bulletin entitled Labour Research and access to their inquiry service.

From 1922 onwards LRD directed more attention to the companies which dominated British industry, their accounts, profits, directors and shareholders, together with wages and conditions. For example an investigation into the cotton industry was undertaken for the United Textile Factory Workers Association, followed by a large scale enquiry into theatre companies for the Musicians Union and one on nightwork for the Railway Clerks Association.

From our archives it is obvious the LRD was collecting information on various pressure groups and where appropriate exposing their practices.

Many of these groups were characterised by their anti-trade union and anti-labour stances, which makes it understandable that they should be of interest.

**The groups included:**

**The Anti-Socialist Union of Great Britain.** This was a British political pressure group that supported free trade economics and opposed socialism. It was active from 1908 to 1948 with its heyday occurring before the First World War.

**The National Citizens’ Union** (incorporating the Middle Class Union, which was set up in 1919). It became associated with the emerging strand of British fascism and shared members.

**The British Empire League** – this society was founded by Lord Avebury Lord Roberts and Lord Strathcona in London in 1895 with the aim of securing permanent unity for the British Empire.
But possibly the most significant group was the **British Empire Union** founded in 1915, which was pro-empire, anti-trade union and anti-socialist. It’s publications included “Keep Britain for the British”. And policies included:

“To exclude undesirable aliens”

“To oppose the teaching of sedition, blasphemy and atheism to children in the Communist, Proletarian and some Socialist Sunday schools.”

“To encourage private enterprise, and the co-operation between capital and labour by impressing upon employers and workers that their real interests are identical.”

“To protect the worker from tyranny and undue interference by the State, Employers, or Trades Unions.”
In 1925 the Labour Research Department exposed their work in a comprehensive article entitled *Strike Breaking Organisations*. It was the Conservative Government of the time that described these groups as “auxiliary strike-breaking organisations” and saw them as providing a potential pool of volunteers to be called on during industrial disputes.

They promoted this initiative through “ex-army, ex-navy and ex civil service individuals”.

Their responsibilities included acting as special constables and voluntary workers on railways and other transport networks.

The groups include the nascent British Fascists.

The same article exposes the proposal to enrol of 2 to 3,000 British Fascists into Wolverhampton and Liverpool special constabulary in November 1925. These proposals were supported by sympathetic chief constables.

However the British Fascists were a small organisation and they were overtaken by the much more successful British Union of Fascists formed by Oswald Mosley in 1932.

An internal report marked “completely confidential” reveals how seriously the LRD took the threat of the British Union of Fascists. It noted:

"Fascist propaganda is far more effective and is making more headway than is commonly realised."

Fascists were advised to become active in trade union branches and to adopt methods to discredit the leaders, local or national, in order to cause dissension and disruption…. And they report that they were effective in establishing themselves in trade union branches, with some reporting a 20% membership of fascists. It was alleged they had members in the National Union of Railwaymen, the transport workers, and the engineering unions.

Thus we find that in the two years since its establishment this new political structure has been built up with branches across the country, with the significance of Mosley especially marked amongst the rank and file of the party. The report notes.
There is a special problem here in writing anti-Fascist propaganda which will be read by those individuals who are already interested in Fascism. It is possible to approach them in various ways and reveal the systematic brutality, the economic and social impossibility of the State they are working for.

They also note the support from the press, especially the Daily Mail and its owner Lord Rothermere, who in 1934 published an editorial “Hurrah for the Blackshirts!”

The files include details of the methods of the BUF including their use of weapons, and there is a frightening letter establishing the supply of knuckledusters which were frequently used by members of the BUF, tracking them down to a sports shop in Lambeth, South London.

With some sense of urgency the report details the “Methods of Combatting Fascism:

Highlighting the importance of collecting information from the BUF at all levels across the country.

To Sustain this research, and to penetrate the BUF in order to expose it.

They recognise the importance of using this information effectively.
As a consequence the LRD started publishing a series of pamphlets.

**WHO BACKS MOSLEY? FASCIST PROMISE AND FASCIST PERFORMANCE** was thus published in 1934.

The intention of this booklet was to draw attention to the true nature of their charismatic leader Sir Oswald Mosley, a politician and former cavalry officer. They wanted to expose the extreme views hidden behind the promises, their links to the establishment, where the support comes from, and to shame those who provide support.

It starts with a critique of Mosley, describing his family history as landowners, accused of “oppression, injustice and vexation.” How Mosley inherited or married into his wealth (married Lady Cynthia Curzon, daughter of American millionaire and an Earl).

His political career is contradictory, changing from Conservative, to independent, to Labour depending on his need and what best suits his rise to political power. He initially mocks others for imitating Italian fascists. Making much of first denying his hereditary peerage and then accepting it on the death of his father.

The pamphlet does much to detail the lavish lifestyle the rich couple lead, travelling, staying in the best hotels and accumulating properties. During election campaigns he hires a modest car, hiding away his own luxury vehicle. His ideas began to develop into fascism, and following their rejection by the Labour Party, he was expelled. He got a more appreciative audience in the Conservative Party.

In 1932 Mosley visited Rome and met Mussolini. He had also been in touch with Nazi leaders. Soon afterwards a statement was issued that “the decision on all matters of policy is in the hands of Sir Oswald Mosley.” There followed the publication of Mosley’s fascist manifesto *The Greater Britain*.

Mosley like all populists, attempted to appeal to people’s grievances related to poverty & societal neglect, and offering vague hopes of economic and political solution through authoritarian policies.

Despite Mosley’s pronouncements about breaking with the establishment the pamphlet does much to connect him to the ruling class and financial power including the support from Lord Inchcape, a shipping magnate, and Lord Rothermere of the
Daily Mail. They list establishment supporters.

There is a deconstruction of fascist theory. And an explosion of the fantasy promises, including:

“recovering millions of acres from the sea.”

“doubling agriculture production.”

There is some analysis and criticism of economic policy. It details the proposal of state run labour camps for the unemployed based on the model in Nazi Germany.

And it provides evidence of their Antisemitism, and the contradiction between mild official public statements and those much more extreme views expressed within BUF publications describing Jewish people as a block war-mongers.

In the smaller pamphlet MOSLEY, FASCISM – THE MAN HIS POLICY & METHODS published August 1935

again the focus is on critiquing Mosley. Let us see …who this “leader” is, and to what he owes his position.

In the section Mosley’s Promises - there is a list of promises broken during his political career to date.

Finally in the section Blackshirt Brutality there is detail on the violence of the BUF with members using knuckledusters, short knives, sections of broom handles, and throwing potatoes with …razorblades embedded in them.

Beyond the report this violence culminates with a rally at the Olympia centre in London in 1934 when some of the 12,000 supporters attending attacked and beat up anti-fascist protesters.

However as the Nazi threat in Europe increased the BUF became weaker and less popular. Their activities were curtailed following a 1936 Public Order Act which forbade wearing paramilitary uniforms and outlawed rallies. And in 1940 the BUF was finally banned.
In 1937 the LRD published a report *Fascism - Fight it Now* about the threat of Nazis to democracy in Europe. According to the introduction *This pamphlet gives us a straightforward picture of fascism in action in a neighbouring country – a picture of unspeakable cruelty and oppression.*

Following the war the LRD continues to monitor the manifestation of far right groups which continue with many of the same individuals from the inter-war period.

The focus for many concerns Britain’s remaining colonial territories which were struggling for independence. Key in this was the white supremacist group the **League of Empire Loyalists** and the LRD exposed the company connections and financial interests in Malaya, Kenya, Rhodesia and West Africa. The League was a small group of current or former members of the Conservative Party. Its main purpose was to stop the dissolution of the British Empire led by Arthur K. Chesterton, a former leading figure in the British Union of Fascists, who had served under Sir Oswald Mosley. The League found support from some Conservative Party members, although it was disliked very much by the leadership.

With post-war economic expansion, successive governments appealed to the Commonwealth for workers to migrate to the motherland. Subsequently the 1950s saw large scale immigration from the Caribbean, then from the Asian Sub-continent a decade later. Racism was overt in the 50s and 60s, with colour bars in housing, employment and entertainment. There were race riots in Notting Hill and Nottingham. Race was an issue in the 1964 General Election. And in 1968 the Conservative Government minister Enoch Powell gave his infamous *rivers of blood* speech, saying
that British society would end in civil war if immigration was not stopped.

LRD exposed the activities of racist organisations, stressing the need for a law against dissemination of race hatred and in 1961 in the face of moves to limit immigration issued a pamphlet *The Colour Bar Bill*, answering all the racist arguments.

In *Facts v Myths* published in May 1968 such questions as

*Are we being ‘flooded out’ by Commonwealth immigrants?*

And *Are commonwealth immigrants a drain on our resources?*

were addressed with rational arguments and evidence.

The LRD concludes that: *We live in a capitalist society in which the dominant class has always tried to deflect attention away from the real source of grievances on to an imaginary foe. 5% of the adult population owns 75% of the wealth – coloured immigrants are not among this 5%, yet we are told the immigrants make us poor.*

In *Powell and his Allies* a pamphlet published in June 1969

The LRD links Enoch Powell’s speeches on immigration to a wave of racial abuse and violence.

The pamphlet examines Powell’s claims and exposes his lies and exaggerations. They quote Powell from an interview in which he likened a politician to an artist and

*As such he deceives and is required to deceive, but only in the sense that every kind of art involves deception, deliberated and calculated.*

It details Powell’s distortion of statistics about rising population, exposing the fallacies of immigrants raising huge families and their high fertility.
Focuses on Powell’s obsession with black immigrants not white.

And exposes Powell’s links to far-right groups such as the ultra-right Monday Club, exposing their white supremacist beliefs, and naming the MPs and those in the establishment who are members.

There are also details of fascist parties including the National Front which was formed in 1967.

National Front

The LRD keeps tabs on the movements of far right groups including the National Front and publishes details of their electoral successes, and warns against complacency. At their peak the National Front polled 8% in local elections in Leicester in 1972, rising to as high as 27.5% in one ward in 1976.

In 1978 they published The National Front Investigated stating “No longer can the fascist right in Britain be dismissed as cranks”. This pamphlet discusses the growth of the National Front, its ideas and practice, to show how it is making a serious attempt to inject a streak of fascism into British political life.

They describe the origins of NF, with the merger of League of Empire Loyalists, British National Party and the Greater Britain Movement, with direct links back to BUF. All sharing members.

It examines the NF’ attitudes, including nation above class and the superiority of the white English male, along with a philosophy of the superiority of leaders over non-leaders.

“Fascist movements have always been structured around the idea and practice of ‘natural’ leadership pointing to Hitler, Mussolini and Franco.” And Ross Bradshaw in Germany Calling: a short history of British Fascism has argued that this has been a pivotal weakness in their success in Britain, as potential leaders cannot agree who will be the supreme leader and thus splinter off into factions.

The pamphlet details the National Front in action – their use of physical violence and intimidation, with case studies of violent publicity stunts like heckling and pelting MPs with food, and
thus winning recruits in those places who then formed branches.

In 1973 the National Front set up a trade union section with the aim of being an effective force to put pressure on the government to stop non-white immigration and start repatriation of black migrants.

They exposed the work of National Front youth wing and their attempts to recruit young men through publications such as Bulldog.

They name the millionaires who supply funding and list other associated groups and individuals.

However a consistent conflict between the Nazis and the racists led to its break-up in 1980. And when the Conservative Party took a swing to the right under Margaret Thatcher it absorbed many former National Front supporters.

The archives thin out from the late part of the 20th Century but it is obvious that they are still monitoring far right groups. As these covers suggest, the LRD was carrying out research on the British National Party, and the electoral success of the far right across Europe. And UKIP.

The mainstreaming of the right-wing party UKIP and populist politicians like Nigel Farage, followed by Brexit and the subsequent shift to the right by the Conservative Government has emboldened those on the far right. This provides a challenge to the trade union movement. And the establishment of coalitions like Unite Against Fascism and The Trade Union Co-ordinating Group proves how seriously they take this threat.

The Labour Research Department continues to provide an important role in collecting and providing the information the labour and trade union movement has needed to counter such manifestations in Britain.
Studying Right-Wing Movements in Argentina:
An Overview of Topics, Perspectives, and Sources

Dr. Mercedes López Cantera
Buenos Aires, Argentina
Argentinian XX° century
most important right wing traditions

right-wing
Catholicism

nationalist
extreme-right

liberal-conservative

ideological roots of new political groups
Main topics of studies about right wing movements in Argentina´s 20th. Century

(1st Half)

1900

Origins of authoritarianism
Authoritarianism vs democracy

(2nd Half)

1999

Liberal-conservative tradition and neo-conservatives

Doctrine of “National Security”
Parastatal repression

right-wing
Catholicism

nationalist extreme-right

liberal-conservative
Researches about right wing that explored connections with left wing movements

1st Half 20th C.
- Right wing groups in Labour movement organizations
  - analysis on strike and social conflict

2nd Half 20th C.
- Liberal conservative tradition and neo-conservatives
  - anticomunism
  - nationalism and catholicism
  - parastatal repression
- Doctrine of "National Security"
- State repression
Archives and documents

- right wing literature
- Catholic organizations
- Personal or internal documents of right wing organizations
- Press
- Personal or internal documents of right wing organizations
- State archives
- Press

- Right wing groups in Labour movement organizations
- Nationalism and Catholicism
- Anticommunism
- Parastatal repression
- Analysis on strike and social conflict
- Doctrine of "National Security"
- Liberal conservative tradition and neo-conservatives
- State repression
Most relevant archives and sources

- **State archives**
  - AGN Intermedio (ministerio del Interior) / AGN Fondos Documentales / Comisión Provincial por la Memoria,
  - Archivo de la Dirección de Inteligencia de la Policía de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (DIPBA) /
  - Diarios de Sesiones del Congreso de la Nación –
  - Archivo de la Memoria Legislativa (on line) / Boletines Oficiales

- **Catholic organizations**
  - Archivo de la Asociación Católica Argentina / Círculos de Obreros Católicos (Central y otros)
  - Archivo del Arzobispado de Buenos Aires / Archivo del Arzobispado de Córdoba

- **Personal or internal documents**
  - Biblioteca del Congreso de los EEUU. Fondo Woodrow Wilson (Lvovich 2020) /
  - Wilson Center Digital Archive (Bohoslavsky 2019)

- **Press**
  - Hemeroteca de la Biblioteca Nacional Mariano Moreno / Hemeroteca de la Biblioteca Nacional del Congreso de la Nación

- **Right wing literature**
Main issues / Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

- The proposals of right-wing associations to organize workers
- How a trade union or a strike/struggle must be according to their position
- How the right-wing press analyzes strikes and labour movement organizations (trade unions, Communist Party)
- Searches in the left-wing press about conflicts with right-wing leagues
- What was said by both left and right about a specific conflict or government performance → economy, crisis, repression, etc
- Relationships between extreme right organizations and security agencies (More difficult)
Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

Asquini, Sabrina (2022) Social Catholicism in Labor world: the Workers Circles experience (Buenos Aires, 1890-1922)

El catolicismo social en el mundo de los trabajadores: la experiencia de los Círculos de Obreros (Buenos Aires, 1890-1922)

Workers Circle internal organization

Conflicts and strikes / concerns about left wing in labour movement

Workers Circles as an alternative trade union

Catholic Church Archives in Argentina

Workers Circles Archives Círculos de Obreros (CCOO)

private collections / Press / internal documents

Others (press)

Public Libraries
Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

Asquini, Sabrina (2022) Social Catholicism in Labor World: the Workers Circles experience (Buenos Aires, 1890-1922)

- Press
- Private
- Minutes books and chronicles
- Mail and letters

- Workers Circles:
  - Central (Buenos Aires)
  - Biblioteca Nacional
  - Biblioteca Argentina (Rosario)
  - Biblioteca del BCRA (Torquinst y Prebisch, en BA)

- Biblioteca Juan B. Justo
- IISH
- CEDINCI
- Trade unions' and left wing movement's press and documents

- Catholic Church in Argentina
  - Memorias del Ministerio de RREE y Religión

- Archivo General de la Nación
- State Documents

Problems → Restrictions in Archivo Central Salesiano (BA)

Arzobispado de Buenos Aires, documents before 1950 are mostly-destroyed
Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

López Cantera, Mercedes (2019): *Origins and development of anticommunism in Argentina during interwar period (1917-1943)*

*Orígenes y desarrollo del anticomunismo en la Argentina de entreguerras (1917-1943)*
Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

López Cantera, Mercedes (2019): Origins and development of anticommunism in Argentina during interwar period (1917-1943)

Orígenes y desarrollo del anticomunismo en la Argentina de entreguerras (1917-1943)
Research and perspectives of members of the CEHTI

- Ceruso, D. (2015) nationalist leagues in repression intervention of catholic figures requested by the State
- Murmis, E. CONINTES - massive repression plan (work in progress) (Frondizi Presidency)

- Police Memories
- Labor Departament Archives
- Archives du Ministère des Affaires Étrangères, Nantes, France
- Biblioteca Nacional Mariano Moreno, Departamento de Archivos. Fondo Centro de Estudios Nacionales
¡¡¡ Muchas gracias!!!

Thank you very much!!!

CEHTI
Activist documentation

New Left’s Struggle against New Right in Denmark in the 1960-70s

Jesper Jørgensen
New Right: Democratic Alliance (1962-1968)

- Press conference 1967
- Hans Hetler, Henning Jensen, president Nguyễn Văn Thiệu
The Battle of the Student Union 1966
New Left: The Documentation Group DDV/Demos (1968-)
Under Cover - Intelligence on the interaction between NATO politicians, intelligence services and right-wing extremist groups (1978)
Sources of inspirations

Carl Madsen outside the High Court, 1968
“I have helped to collect material about the right wing, which should and could be published. It was spying on private individuals, that I fought in the 1960s. Back then, it was about spying on leftists, pacifists, radicals, etc. Will [you] now spy on inferior private individuals on the right wing? What should such a material be used for? I am simply very worried. One of the consequences of Stalinism was precisely unrestrained and unscrupulous collecting of material for the secret police. So, there are some things you have to think through, before you end up in something very bad. I can say that if you end up [doing] secret card indexes on right-wingers, I will fight you.”

Mads Nissen Styrk
Erik Jensen
Exhibition: Activist (2021-2022)
Collection of testimonies from Vietnam Demonstration 1968
Tove Jensen
(born 1944)
Conclusions

• Tracks of continuity from the communist movement
• Dynamics of radicalization between the parties
• Ethical dilemmas in disseminating history bordering on the illegal
Far Right Collections

at the International Institute of Social History

Marien van der Heijden – IALHI Annual Conference 16-9-2022
• Our Far Right collections
• Acquisition practices and policies
• Access and use
• Some questions and dilemmas
Our Far Right collections (pre-1945)

- Quite a few Far Right periodicals from the 1930s, especially German Nazi publications
- Archives and publications of Dutch socialist organizations that were taken over during WWII
- Papers of individual persons who evolved from far left to far right
Smeed mee aan de eenheid.

Other Organization(s): NVV

Period: 1941-1942

Physical Description: 122x86 cm.
85.5x60.5 cm.
59.5x42 cm.

Published: (1941?) (S.l. :s.n.,

Genres: Poster

Subject: Membership recruitment

Subject Location: Netherlands

Holdings Marc

Call number
IISG BG G1/394 Order reproduction

Dutch trade union poster, 1941
Double portrait Erich Wichman, Clara Meijer-Wichmann

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collector:</th>
<th>Meijer-Wichmann, Clara</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Organization(s):</td>
<td>Benque &amp; Kindermann (Hamburg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Published:</td>
<td>1894.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genres:</td>
<td>Photo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Person:</td>
<td>Wichman, Erich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meijer-Wichmann, Clara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Double portrait</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Youth portrait of Erich Wichman with his sister, 1894
Our Far Right collections (more recent)

• 1970s and onwards: small far right groups (esp. racist, some pro-NATO and anti-communist); lots of leaflets, brochures, periodicals and some posters; mainly Dutch, some Western and Southern European

• Hans Janmaat papers (1970s-90s)

• Bela Althans papers (1980-2000) and collection of books, brochures and periodicals
De Centrumpartij is nu volwassen. Wij heten voortaan: Centrumdemocraten.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Other Organization(s):**
Centrumpartij  
Centrumdemocraten  
Comite Turkije voor de Turken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period:</th>
<th>1984–1984</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Description:</th>
<th>60x42 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genres:</th>
<th>Poster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Person:</th>
<th>Janmaat, Hans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject:</th>
<th>Portrait</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Location:</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Holdings**  
Marc

**Call number**  
IISG BG D83/136  
[Online access]  
Order reproduction

**Poster of Hans Janmaat’s party, 1984**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Subject(s)</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hess: the missing years 1941-1945</td>
<td>Irving, David</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>“…Hess, Rudolf…”</td>
<td>Althans, Bernd Ewald</td>
<td>Books and Brochures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rundbrief / Rudolf Hess Gesellschaft Rudolf Hess Gesellschaft (Planegg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“…Althans, Bernd Ewald,…”</td>
<td>Althans, Bernd Ewald</td>
<td>Serials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudolf Hess: Gefangener der Unmenschlichkeit.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>“…Hess, Rudolf…”</td>
<td>Althans, Bernd Ewald</td>
<td>Books and Brochures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudolf Hess ein Märtyrer für Deutschland.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“…Hess, Rudolf…”</td>
<td>Althans, Bernd Ewald</td>
<td>Visual Documents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some titles from the Althans collection
Acquisition practices and policies (1)

• 1935-1940: National Socialism seen as ‘important subject’ that had to be documented; subscriptions to German periodicals

• Up to mid 1970s: not much was done

• Mid 1970s – present: not an active collecting area, but indirectly a lot of material came in – mainly Dutch
Acquisition practice and policies (2)

• **No direct acquisition from active Far Right organizations/persons**
  - Not at the core of our collection profile
  - Network, ‘trust relationship’...

• **Mostly via anti-fascist organizations and activists, and researchers/journalists**
  - Leaflets, brochures and etc.

• **Mediation by researcher or journalist**
  - Janmaat and Althans papers

• **No web/Social Media archiving**
Access and use

• **The two recent archives**
  • Mediator and archive creator give permission for access; sometimes this poses problems
  • Nothing digitized
  • Finding aids give context

• **Publications and visual documents: open in catalog**
  • No questions asked to users
  • No publications digitized
  • Visual documents digitized and displayed
  • No contextualization, no ‘warning’ displayed
Some questions and dilemmas

Access:
• Should we be concerned with who uses it and for which reasons?
• Should we digitize?
• Should we provide more context, ‘warnings’?

Acquisition:
• When we mainly collect indirectly and mainly public material, do we get the most relevant materials?
• If the present Far Right is to be documented, are the semi-public statements/insults/threats on social media the most relevant? How to get to the internal discussions?
• If we do not cover this topic actively, who does?
The Anti Nazi League, 1977-81
A history ‘from below’
IALHI, Zürich, September 2022

Geoff Brown
geoff.brown@gmail.com
The united front: founding statement, sponsors, publicity
Rock against racism
CARNIVAL!!!
AGAINST THE NAZIS

SUNDAY APRIL 30/EVE OF MAYDAY • 11 a.m. RALLY/TRAfalgar Square
MARCH TO VICTORIA PARK • Tom Robinson Band and Steel Pulse
Accessing materials relating to the movement at the grass roots

School Students against the Nazis, SKAN
Accessing materials relating to the movement at the grass roots

Football Fans against the Nazis

Join the FAN Club

Football Against the Nazis

SPURS are in business in the league since a little start at Luton...
Accessing materials relating to the movement at the grass roots
Civil Servants against the Nazis
Web based sources

How Eric Clapton's Bigotry Begat UK's Rock Against Racism Movement

Anti Nazi League | Documentary | Thames Television |1978

Hackney School Kids Against The Nazis (1978)

London Against Racism Timeline

Memories of Brick Lane Oral History Films - Swadhinata Trust Organisation
Responses of social democracy and trade unions to the challenges of right-wing extremism in Germany since the 1950s
Development of right-wing extremism in Germany
Phase classification according to Richard Stöss:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
<th>Phase 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Right-wing extremism initially benefited from the profound post-war problems
- Rapid economic growth in the 1950s ("Wirtschaftswunder") largely deprived right-wing extremism of its social basis
- Coalition between CDU/SPD and the economic crisis of 1966/67 favored the rise of the NPD
- Political changes (CDU in opposition in 1969) deprived NPD of political basis. This led to the crisis and fragmentation of right-wing extremism
- Economic and political changes (globalisation & modernisation) resulted in the rise of right-wing extremism all over Europe
- "New right-wing extremism" became a European phenomenon
- Organised membership grew
- Racist violence increased between 1991 and 1994
- Violent subcultures embedded in a latent right-wing extremist environment
- Emergence of the NSU terrorist group
- Organised right-wing extremism has been in crisis at the party level
Prohibition „Sozialistische Reichspartei“ (SRP) (1950s)

Sozialistische Reichspartei (SRP)
- Founded in October 1949
- Characterised as the successor organisation to the NSDAP
- Leadership: Former members of the NSDAP
- Prohibition in 1952

Archive holdings:
- SPD
- Trade unions (DGB)
- Collections (Photographs, Leaflets, posters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Founding DRP</th>
<th>Prohibition SRP</th>
<th>Antisemitic vandalism</th>
<th>Amendment §130 StGB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>1959/60</td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands – NPD (1960s)

Nationaldemokratische Partei Deutschlands – NPD
- Founded in 1964
- 1966/67 Elected to several state parliaments
- 1969 Defeat in the Bundestag elections

Regional holdings of the SPD:
- SPD Hessen
- SPD Bayern
- SPD Bremen
- SPD Rheinland-Pfalz
- SPD Niedersachsen
- SPD Schleswig-Holstein

Founding NPD: 1964
Debate on statute of limitations: 1965
Economic Crisis: 1966/67
Emergency Laws: 1968
Founding of NPD Youth: 1969
Citizens' initiative against NPD: 1969
Non-parliamentary right-wing extremism (1970s)

„Aktion Widerstand“ („Action Resistance“)
- Right-wing extremist organisation (1970-1971)
- Directed against the German government's policy towards the East ("Warsaw Treaty")
- Non-parliamentary right-wing extremism
- Increasing violence and militarisation in the 1970s
- First conviction of right-wing extremists as terrorists ("Bückeburg Trial", 1979)

Archive holdings:
- SPD Youth Organisation
- Fes archive holdings

„Action Resistance“ | Wave of NS-nostalgia in the media | Right-wing terrorist acts of violence | Bückeburg Trial
---|---|---|---
Education and information services (1980s)

„Blick nach Rechts“ („View to the right“)
- Information service to raise awareness of right-wing extremist activities
- Re-founded under SPD leadership in 1984

Archive holdings:
- Institut für Information und Dokumentationen e.V. (Present publisher)
- Estate Klaus-Henning Rosen (Member of the Editorial Board)
- Library collection (DGB migration stock)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Oktoberfest-Assassination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Sinus-Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Founding REP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Blick nach rechts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Bitburg-Controversial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>DGB-Initiative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52nd Annual Conference of the IALHI
ADSD, KIM KNOTT & ANJA KRUKE
Solidarity (1980s)

„Mach meinen Kumpel nicht an!“ („Don't hit on my buddy!”)

- 1986 Foundation of the trade union initiative against xenophobia and racism
- Solidarity with foreign workers

1980
- Oktoberfest-Assassination

1981
- Sinus-Study

1983
- Founding REP

1984
- Blick nach rechts

1985
- Bitburg-Controversial

1986
- DGB-Initiative
Right wing riots (1990s)

„Rostock-Lichtenhagen riots“
- In August 1992 violent xenophobic riots took place in Rostock-Lichtenhagen
- Up to 3,000 people watched the violent attacks and applauded

„Asylum compromise“:
- New regulation of asylum law with the consent of the SPD
- Controversial debate within the SPD
- Reversal of guilt

In August 1992 violent xenophobic riots took place in Rostock-Lichtenhagen. Up to 3,000 people watched the violent attacks and applauded.

“Asylum compromise“:
- New regulation of asylum law with the consent of the SPD
- Controversial debate within the SPD
- Reversal of guilt

Archive holdings:
- SPD-PV und SPD-BTF
- SPD Jusos
- Trade unions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991/92</td>
<td>„Rostock-Lichtenhagen riots“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992/93</td>
<td>„Asylum compromise“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Amendments § 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Final Report of the Commission on Right-Wing Extremism (DGB)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the fringe to the middle of society (2000er)

First „Middle“-Study (FES):
- Examines anti-democratic attitudes in the German population
- FES archive holdings

"Youth for Tolerance and Democracy“:
- Programme against Right-Wing Extremism, Xenophobia and Anti-Semitism
- Initiated by the Alliance for Democracy and Tolerance - against Extremism and Violence (BfDT)
- The BfDT was co-founded by the SPD politician Cornelie Sonntag-Wolgast

Increase in right-wing motivated offences and demonstrations.
Photo: AdsD, Paul Glaser

NSU-Terrorism 1. Mitte-Study NSU-Uncovering Founding AfD Pegida Entry AfD Bundestag Riots in Chemnitz Murder Walter Lübcke

"Youth for Tolerance and Democracy“:

Increase in right-wing motivated offences and demonstrations.
Photo: AdsD, Paul Glaser
Developments since 2010

- Uncovering of the NSU in November 2011 revealed a new dimension of right-wing terrorism in Germany
- Spread of further fragmented small parties and movements since 2015 (Pegida, III. Weg)
- Murder of the politician Walter Lübcke in 2019

Right-wing extremism is classified as the greatest extremist danger to democracy (Report on the Protection of the Constitution 2021) and must be discussed as a social problem.
Thank you for your attention!